Date: Sun, 15 Aug 93 04:30:00 PDT
From: Packet-Radio Mailing List and Newsgroup <packet-radio@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Packet-Radio-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Packet-Radio@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Packet-Radio Digest V93 #240
To: packet-radio

Packet-Radio Digest Sun, 15 Aug 93 Volume 93 : Issue 240

Today's Topics:

Applications under BPQ
Change of Newsgroup
Digital Hierarchy (2 msgs)
Inexperienced would-be ham

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Packet-Radio@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Packet-Radio-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Packet-Radio Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/packet-radio".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 14 Aug 1993 17:22:25 -0400
From: usc!wupost!uhog.mit.edu!eddie.mit.edu!news.intercon.com!panix!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Applications under BPQ
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

I'm looking for additional applications that will run with a BPQ Switch on my PC. (I already run a BBS.)

Specifically, I'm looking for something that could be used to provide help and information text files to users, without them having to connect with the BBS.

Perhaps there are fileserver or database applications that might be useable for this?

Thanks!

73, Andy

--

_____ Andrew Funk, KB7UV _____
| Chair, Radio Amateur Telecommunications Society (RATS) |
| ENG Editor/Microwave Control, WCBS-TV Channel 2 News, New York |
| Internet: kb7uv@panix.com Packet: kb7uv@kb7uv.#nli.ny.usa |

Date: Sat, 14 Aug 93 03:09:29 EST
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!torn!nott!cunews!revcan!balsam!pinetree!
gordon@ames.arpa
Subject: Change of Newsgroup
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

bill@triangle.cs.uofs.edu (Bill Gunshannon) writes:

> In article <CBLs55.BwK@world.std.com>, dts@world.std.com (Daniel T Senie) wri
> |>
> |> digital.misc provides a place for discussion of the digital modes I like
> |> the most, RTTY, Amtor and Pactor...
> |>
>
> So, rather than just creating a group for RTTY and a group for Amtor and a gr
> for Pactor a system was proposed that eliminated a group for discussing packe
> Talk about throwing out the baby with the bath-water.

Rather than complain, why not put forward an RFD for some r.r.a.digital.*
sub-groups?

  --G

--

  Internet: gordon@pinetree.org | Guillotine operators
  UUCP: ...!pinetree!gordon | get severance pay.
  Packet: VE3XGD@VE3JF | * VE3XGD *
  Gordon's Pinetree - Ottawa, Ontario, Canada - +1 613 526 0702 - v32bis/v42bis

---

Date: 14 Aug 93 15:15:23 GMT
From: ogicse!uwm.edu!spool.mu.edu!olivea!news.bu.edu!dartvax!
Kenneth.E.Harker@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Digital Hierarchy
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

It would seem to me that a sensible solution to the digital
hierarchy problem would be for someone to start the voting process for
groups like:

rec.radio.amateur.digital.packet
rec.radio.amateur.digital.tcpip
rec.radio.amateur.digital.rtty
rec.radio.amateur.digital.pactor
rec.radio.amateur.digital.equipment

etc...

This is the logical hierarchy, and most probably the reason that rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc won the vote. This is similar to when the rec.ham-radio hierarchy was changed to rec.radio.amateur. It will make more sense in the long run. All that is necessary is for the rest of the newsgroups to get created.


```
=====================================================================
          Ken Harker            "And there's bound to be rough waters
"Remember the Alamo! Remember    And I know I'll take some falls
 Goliad!" - San Jacinto, 1836    But with the good Lord as my captain
Kenneth.E.Harker@Dartmouth.Edu   I can make it through them all..."
    * Member of the EFF *                 -Victoria Shaw, Garth Brooks
=====================================================================
```

Date: Sat, 14 Aug 93 21:29:08 GMT
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!darwin.sura.net!sgiblab!wattres!steve@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Digital Hierarchy
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

In article <CBr91o.5tG@dartvax.dartmouth.edu> Kenneth.E.Harker@Dartmouth.Edu (Kenneth E. Harker) writes:
> It would seem to me that a sensible solution to the digital
>hierarchy problem would be for someone to start the voting process for
>groups like:
>
>rec.radio.amateur.digital.packet
>rec.radio.amateur.digital.tcpip
>rec.radio.amateur.digital.rtty
>rec.radio.amateur.digital.pactor
>rec.radio.amateur.digital.equipment

And, in fact, that's what the people who thrashed out the new group proposal suggested. Well, r.r.a.d.tcpip and r.r.a.d.misc, at least. However, due to an (apparent) lack of interest, r.r.a.d.tcpip did not pass. My intent was (after the 6 month waiting period for retrying votes times out) to start up discussion about (at least) r.r.a.d.tcpip, r.r.a.d.packet, and

r.r.a.d.hf-modes (or something approximately like that).

However, all that has to wait (per the Guidelines) until 6 months after the previous RFD for related groups. Which will be sometime in December, if I remember the history right. I was planning on around Jan 94 to start discussion.

flame mode on

If you were displeased with the outcome of the vote, or don't understand why r.r.a.packet was renamed, maybe you should've paid more attention when the RFD (Request For Discussion) was posted. Or at least voted!
Final word on voting: If you don't vote, you can't bitch.

For those who care, all information that was posted to news.groups or to the rra-reorg mailing list is availabe for FTP from amdahl.com. I don't remember where, though... browse around. This will be the place to read all of the discussion that went on with respect to the changes, and to see what the rationale was.

flame mode off

Phew, much better.

73 de KD6GGD
--
Steve Watt KD6GGD Packet: KD6GGD @ N0ARY.#NOCAL.CA.USA.NA
ICBM: 121W 56' 53.1" / 37N 20' 16.7" Internet: steve@wattres.SJ.CA.US
"Never let your sense of morals prevent you from doing what is right."
     -- Salvor Hardin, "Foundation"

Date: 14 Aug 93 15:09:48 CDT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!spool.mu.edu!umn.edu!
doug.cae.wisc.edu!trevor@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Inexperienced would-be ham
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

I would like to know how much a basic packet setup would cost. Exclude the computer, which I have access to, but include a transmitter (HF preferably) and all other stuff.

Thanks in advance for any assistance.

--
+---+ /----------\
| Trevor Dolby | / /\ /\ \

| Consultant, Computer Aided Engineering Center |
| University of Wisconsin, Madison |
| |
| E-Mail: trevor@cae.wisc.edu |
| tcd@caestaff.engr.wisc.edu |
+----------+

```
   /    \/      \/    \
   |        /\        |
   |       /__\       |
   \   \__________/   /
     \   \______/   /
       \----------/
```

End of Packet-Radio Digest V93 #240
****